

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD**

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION Nos. 32357 and 35265 of 1998

WRIT PETITION Nos. 32357 of 1998

Between:

N.Venkat Ratnam, S/o Venkatesu,
R/o 21/83(3), Gandhi Nagar,
Jammalamadugu, Cuddpah District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Cuddapah.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in not granting the temporary permit on the deviated route from Peddasettipalli to Jammalamadugu via Salivendulu in continuation of the existing permit plying on the route Proddatur Thyagaraja Reddy Hospital to Jammalamadugu and consequently direct the respondent herein to grant temporary permit on the deviated route in question.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.ARIFULLA

Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR TRANSPORT

WRIT PETITION NO : 35265 of 1998

Between:

P.Ravindranath Reddy S/o P.Ramanjaneya Reddy
R/o 1-492, Z.P.Road, Cuddapah

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Cuddapah

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in not granting the temporary permit on the deviated route from Lakal to Junior College via Chinnamasupalli in continuation of the existing permit of the petitioner, plying on the route Cuddapah Ramakrishna Samajam to Chennur, as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondent herein to grant temporary permit having regard to the Motor Vehicle Inspector report on the deviated route in question.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.ARIFULLA

Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR TRANSPORT

The Court made the following ORDER:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-
-
-
-

COMMON ORDER:-

Heard both the learned counsel. These two writ petitions are filed seeking writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in not granting temporary permit on the deviated route in continuation of the existing permit of the petitioner plying on the original route as illegal and arbitrary.

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner in these two writ petitions that the petitioner already paid the tax for the period upto 31-12-2004. It is further stated that the petitioner made an application to the respondent to grant temporary permit on the deviated route.

In view of the above, these two writ petitions are disposed of directing the respondent herein to consider the application of the petitioner already applied for grant of temporary permit on the deviated route and pass appropriate orders before the expiry of the period up to which the petitioner paid the tax, after hearing all the concerned. It is needless to mention that the petitioner is not entitled to seek any direction to continue the vehicle beyond 31-12-2004. No costs.

3—11—2004.

Stv/-

To

1. The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Cuddapah.

2. Two CCs to G.P for Transport, High Court of A.P Buildings,
Hyderabad (OUT)

3 Two CD Copies